

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE               | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |  |
|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 10/075,528      | 02/13/2002                | Seng Tan             | 1563 (WRIGHT)       | 8952             |  |  |
| 30010           | 7590 07/16                | 004                  | EXAMINER            |                  |  |  |
| AUZVILLI        | AUZVILLE JACKSON, JR.     |                      |                     | POELAK, MORTON   |  |  |
|                 | RANDE ROAD<br>), VA 23229 |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |  |
| RICHMONI        | 7, VA 23229               |                      | 1711                |                  |  |  |

DATE MAILED: 07/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|  | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,  | Application  | on No.  | Applicant(s)   |         |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|---|--|---------|--|--|--|
|  |  | 10/075,52  | 28  | TAN, SENG  |         |  |  |  |
|  | Office Action Summary  | Examiner   |   | Art Unit   |         |  |  |  |
|  |  | Morton F   |   | 1711   |         |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply |  |  |   |  |         |  |  |  |
| A SHOTHE I  - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any I   | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN is consistent of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) period for reply is specified above, the maximum stree to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).   | ICATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ev nunication.  io) days, a reply within the stat atlutory period will apply and w will, by statute, cause the app | ent, however, may a reply be tin<br>utory minimum of thirty (30) day<br>ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from<br>lication to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this communi D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | cation. |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |   |  |         |  |  |  |
| 2a)□   | Responsive to communication(s) file<br>This action is <b>FINAL</b> .<br>Since this application is in condition<br>closed in accordance with the pract  | 2b)⊠ This action is r<br>for allowance except  | on-final.<br>for formal matters, pro  |  | its is  |  |  |  |
| Dispositi  | on of Claims   |  |   |  |         |  |  |  |
| 5)□<br>6)⊠<br>7)□<br>8)□   | Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the state (4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-8 and 2s</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) <u>9-21</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restri  | 2-25 is/are withdrawn  |   |  |         |  |  |  |
|  | •  |  | •   |  |         |  |  |  |
| 10)  | The specification is objected to by the transfer of the drawing(s) filed on is/are applicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected to the specific or the same of the same o | : a) accepted or bection to the drawing(s) g the correction is require   | be held in abeyance. Se<br>red if the drawing(s) is ob  | e 37 CFR 1.85(a).<br>ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.   |         |  |  |  |
| Priority (   | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |   |  |         |  |  |  |
| а)   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation   | documents have been documents have been of the priority documents Bureau (PCT Ru   | en received.<br>en received in Applicat<br>ents have been receiv<br>le 17.2(a)).  | ion No<br>ed in this National Stag   | e       |  |  |  |
| 2)   | nt(s)  ce of References Cited (PTO-892)  ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o er No(s)/Mail Date  |  | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:   |  |         |  |  |  |

**Art Unit: 1711** 

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 9-21 in the reply filed on 4/19/04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the requirement is not proper because the elected claims are product by process claims and one would have to search the process in order to find the product. However attention is directed to the fact that while applicant may be correct in his position, it is noted that product by process claims are deemed product claims and said claims do not necessarily have to be made by the same process. Claims 1-8 and 22-25 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to another invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

## **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

**Art Unit: 1711** 

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 9-21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 8-16 of U. S. Patent No. 6,555,589 since the claims, if allowed, would improperly extend the "right to exclude" already granted in the patent.

The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the patent and is covered by the patent since the patent and the application are claiming common subject matter, as follows:

The instant claims are broader than and read on the product claims of the patent. In addition it is deemed that the claimed product would inherently have the same transparency and void size and be a powder since the temperatures and pressures used in the methods of both cases would be similar.

Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant was prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the

**Art Unit: 1711** 

instant application during prosecution of the application which matured into a patent. See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 9-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 92/17533 taken with Keiser.
- 6. Patentees disclose making a nanocomposite or molecularcomposite polymer by extruding and foaming with the use of carbon
  dioxide blowing agent and temperatures and pressures used in the
  instant case. The steps of fully or partially releasing the pressure and
  controllably quenching the polymer during the process are also read
  on the steps employed in the references (page 9, 11,15 and 16 lines 6
  and 7 which calls for the claimed quenching step in their extrusion

**Art Unit: 1711** 

process of the WO patent and col. 8 line 19-23 and col. 6 lines 24 –65 and particularly line 41 and 46-58 of Keiser where blends of polymers of the disclosed type to be foamed are disclosed) Both patentees also call for nucleation in their processes (first par. including line 6 of col. 6 ).

- 7. Since both references disclose a similar process to that claimed there would be motivation to use the nucleating agents and the polymer blends of Keiser in the process of the WO patent or employ the quenching or cooling of the WO patent,
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Morton Foelak whose telephone number is (571) 272-1071. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Seidleck can be reached on (571) 272-1078. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Morton Foelak

**Art Unit: 1711** 

Page 7

M.F. July 9, 2004 Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1711